Received: 1 August 2023





A novel strategy for treating oncogene-mutated tumors by targeting tumor microenvironment and synergistically enhancing anti-PD-1 immunotherapy

Oncogenes are critical factors in tumorigenesis of diverse cancer types and play essential roles in tumor immune escape. Mutations in Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog (KRAS) and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) are among the most frequent gain-of-function alterations [1]. After many years of in-depth research, inhibitors targeting EGFR or KRAS mutations have been successfully developed, however, their clinical benefit is relatively limited, and they will inevitably encounter the challenge of drug resistance. The emergence of resistance is attributed to secondary mutations in driver genes and other complicated factors. It is worth noting that approved treatment strategies are currently lacking for tumors with different types of KRAS or EGFR mutations, including KRAS^{G12D}, KRAS^{G13D}, and EGFR^{C797S} mutations that are common in tumors [2]. Additionally, oncogene mutations could trigger a cascade of tumor microenvironment changes, ultimately resulting in tumor progression or resistance to programmed death-1 (PD-1) antibody therapy [3, 4]. SYHA1813, a novel vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR) and colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor (CSF1R) dual inhibitor, exhibited potent preclinical anti-glioma activity by inhibiting angiogenesis and promoting tumor immunity and showed promising efficacy in an ongoing clinical study (ChiCTR2100045380) [5, 6]. Here, we determined SYHA1813's antitumor activity in tumor models bearing KRAS or EGFR mutations.

We first examined the effects of SYHA1813 against cell line-derived xenograft (CDX) tumor models containing *KRAS*^{G12C} mutation (NCI-H358 lung cancer), *KRAS*^{G12D}

mutation (PANC-1 pancreatic cancer) and wild-type KRAS (KRAS^{WT}) (HT-29 colorectal cancer). The results demonstrated that oral administration of SYHA1813 at a dose of 10 mg/kg significantly reduced tumor growth in the NCI-H358 xenograft model, with comparable efficacy to the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved KRAS^{G12C} inhibitor sotorasib (AMG510) (Figure 1A). SYHA1813 treatment also resulted in tumor regression in PANC-1 and HT-29 xenograft models (Figure 1B-C). No significant body weight loss was observed in all groups (Supplementary Figure S1). Moreover, considering the emergence of drug resistance as a significant challenge of AMG510, we established a drug resistance model of AMG510 (designated as AMG510R). We found that although AMG510 exhibited attenuated efficacy against the AMG510R model compared to the NCI-H358 model, SYHA1813 could still suppress the growth of drug-resistant tumors at the same dose (Figure 1D). Furthermore, SYHA1813 was evaluated in two patient-derived xenograft (PDX) models, including gastric tumor model GC-1-005 (KRASG13D) and colorectal tumor model CRC-1-003 (KRAS^{WT}) (Figure 1E). SYHA1813 also exhibited potent antitumor activity in these PDX models (Figure 1F-G). Given that SYHA1813 exerted antitumor effects by modulating angiogenesis and macrophages, we further analyzed the expression of the markers associated with angiogenesis, macrophages, and proliferation in NCI-H358 and HT-29 tumor tissues. The immunohistochemistry (IHC) results showed that SYHA1813 significantly reduced the expression of angiogenic marker CD31, macrophage marker F4/80, M2-phenotype macrophage marker CD206 and arginase-1 (ARG1), and the tumor proliferation marker Ki67 (Figure 1H and Supplementary Figure S2). In summary, SYHA1813 exhibited robust antitumor activities across a panel of genetically and histologically heterogeneous KRAS-mutated tumor models, including the model resistant to AMG510.

We then asked whether SYHA1813 could suppress the growth of tumors carrying *EGFR* mutations or resistant

Abbreviations: ARG1, arginase-1; CDX, cell line-derived xenograft; CSF1R, colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; FDA, the United States Food and Drug Administration; IHC, immunohistochemistry; KRAS, Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog; PD-1, programmed death-1; PDX, patient-derived xenograft; TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor; VEGFR, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor; WT, wild-type.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.





to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs). To address this question, we initially employed the NCI-H1975 model containing EGFR^{L858R/T790M} mutation, which is resistant to the first-generation EGFR TKIs, and found that SYHA1813 significantly suppressed the tumor growth (Figure 11). Then, we evaluated the activity of SYHA1813 against the tumor model carrying EGFR^{C797S} triple mutation (PC-9-OR) that is resistant to third-generation EGFR TKIs [7]. As shown in Figure 1J, osimertinib (AZD9291), the first FDA approved third-generation EGFR TKI, failed to inhibit tumor growth even at the dose of 10 mg/kg. However, we observed a significant deceleration with SYHA1813 in tumor growth rate at doses of 5 and 10 mg/kg (Figure 1J). Furthermore, we employed the osimertinib-resistant tumor (designated as AZDR) [8] and also observed significant suppression upon SYHA1813 monotherapy (Figure 1K). Similarly, in another resistant model (designated as 67R) to the third-generation EGFR TKI ASK120067 [8], SYHA1813 monotherapy inhibited tumor growth (Figure 1L). The IHC results also demonstrated a reduction in angiogenic and macrophage markers in tumor tissues following SYHA1813 administration (Supplementary Figure S3). These findings demonstrated that SYHA1813 exhibited potent antitumor activity in different EGFR TKI-resistant models.

Given that the response rates to immunotherapy are quite limited across most tumor types, including those carrying KRAS mutations, and importantly, angiogenesis and macrophages have been identified as key factors involved in the resistance to immunotherapy [9], we then validated whether SYHA1813 could enhance the antitumor activity of anti-PD-1 therapy in immunocompetent mice models

bearing CT26 (KRAS^{G12D} mutation) and MC38 (KRAS^{WT}) colon cancer (Figure 1M-N). In both models, monotherapy with anti-PD-1 antibody or SYHA1813 yielded moderate to marked suppression of tumor growth, while the combination of SYHA1813 and anti-PD-1 antibody conferred

significant advantages over each monotherapy group. In the CT26 and MC38 models, the combinational ratio was 1.88 and 1.42, respectively, both exceeding 1, indicative of a synergistic effect (Supplementary Table S1). Flow cytometry analysis revealed that the combination therapy significantly reduced F4/80⁺ and CD206⁺ macrophages (Figure 10). Additionally, a noticeable increase of CD8⁺ T cells was observed in the combination treatment group, along with an upregulation of granzyme B expression (Figure 1P), indicating an enhanced cytotoxic response against tumor cells.

Our study discovered the activities of SYHA1813 in KRAS- or EGFR-mutated tumors, providing support for further investigation of SYHA1813. Given potential variations in the response of subcutaneous tumors and other tumor types to angiogenic agents, further experiments in orthotopic models will be conducted. The findings in the current work highlight the feasibility of a therapeutic strategy targeting the tumor microenvironment, which can be applied to treat oncogene-driven cancers and overcome the challenge of drug resistance to targeted therapy.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Hua Xie, Jian Ding, Wenhu Duan, and Meiyu Geng supervised the project. Yinggiang Liu, Linjiang Tong, Mengge Zhang, Mengzhen Lai, Fang Feng, Haotian Tang, Yi Chen, and Yan Li conducted the experiments. Yingqiang Liu,

The growth inhibitory activity of SYHA1813 on series of xenograft models with KRAS and EGFR mutations, as well as FIGURE 1 evaluating its synergistic effects with PD-1 antibody. (A-C) Antitumor activity of SYHA1813 in a panel of human cancer xenograft models with wild-type or mutant KRAS, including lung cancer NCI-H358 (n = 8), pancreatic cancer PANC-1 (n = 10), and colorectal adenocarcinoma HT-29 (n = 6) xenograft models following twice daily treatment with SYHA1813. The tumor volumes were monitored twice or thrice weekly and expressed as the mean ± SEM. (D) Antitumor effects of SYHA1813 and AMG510 with dosing at 10 mg/kg in AMG510-resistant model (AMG510R). The tumor volumes were monitored three times a week and expressed as the mean \pm SEM, $n = 7 \sim 8$. (E) Diagram of PDX in nude mice. (F-G) Impact of SYAH1813 on tumor growth in PDX models bearing gastric cancer GC-1-005 (KRASGI3D mutation) and colorectal tumor model CRC-1-003 (*KRAS^{WT}*). The tumor volumes were monitored twice weekly and expressed as the mean \pm SEM, n = 8. (H) The expression of angiogenesis markers (CD31), macrophage markers (F4/80, CD206) and proliferation marker (Ki67) in the NCI-H358 tumor tissues treated with or without SYHA1813 was detected by IHC. Representative images were displayed. Brown staining indicated positivity. The IHC scores were quantified by the MQS. (I-L) Antitumor activity of SYHA1813 in a panel of lung cancer xenograft models, including NCI-H1975, PC-9-OR, AZDR (osimertinib-resistant model) and 67R (ASK120067-resistant model), $n = 6 \sim 10$. The tumor volumes were monitored twice or thrice a week and expressed as the mean ± SEM. (M-N) The synergistic antitumor effects of SYHA1813 in combination with anti-PD-1 antibody in murine colorectal cancer xenograft models and the tumor volume and tumor weight of the CT26 and MC38 were presented. The data were expressed as the mean \pm SEM, n = 10. (O) The total macrophage (F4/80⁺ CD45⁺) and M2 macrophage (F4/80⁺ CD206⁺) in CT26 tumor tissue in control or administrated groups were detected by flow cytometry. (P) Percentages of CD8⁺ T cells and Granzyme B⁺ CD8⁺ T cells in the CT26 tumor tissue were detected using flow cytometry. Two samples were analyzed with an unpaired two-tailed Student t-test for equal variance. One-way ANOVA was applied for multi-comparisons of columns, and two-way ANOVA was employed for multi-comparisons of groups. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. Abbreviations: ANOVA, analysis of variance; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; IHC, immunohistochemistry; KRAS, Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog; MQS, multiplicative quick score; PD-1, programmed death-1; PDX, patient-derived xenografts; SEM, standard error of the mean.

Mengge Zhang, Qi Zhang and Qiupei Liu analyzed the data. Yingqiang Liu, Qiupei Liu, Hua Xie, Jian Ding, and Wenhu Duan wrote the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors have nothing to report.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This research was supported by grants from the Natural Science Foundation of China for Innovation Research Group (81821005), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82273948, 81573271 and 81903638), High-level Innovative Research Institute (2021B0909050003), State Key Laboratory of Drug Research (SKLDR-2023-TT-01 and SIMM2205KF-09), Lingang Laboratory (LG202103-02-02), and Institutes for Drug Discovery and Development, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CASIMM0120225003-1 and -2).

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Animal experiments containing cell line-derived xenografts and patient-derived xenografts were carried out in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica (approval number 2021-04-DJ-59).

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Yingqiang Liu¹ Linjiang Tong¹ Mengge Zhang^{1,2} Qi Zhang^{1,2} Qiupei Liu^{1,3} Fang Feng¹ Yan Li¹ Mengzhen Lai¹ Haotian Tang^{1,4} Yi Chen^{1,2} Meiyu Geng^{1,2,5} Wenhu Duan^{2,5,6} Jian Ding^{1,2,5} Hua Xie^{1,2,4} ¹Division of Antitumor Pharmacology & State Key Laboratory of Drug Research, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, P. R. China

²University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, P. R. China

³Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, University of Nottingham Ningbo China, Ningbo, Zhejiang, P. R. China

⁴Zhongshan Institute for Drug Discovery, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Zhongshan, Guangdong, P. R. China ⁵Shandong Laboratory of Yantai Drug Discovery, Bohai Rim Advanced Research Institute for Drug Discovery, Yantai, Shandong, P. R. China ⁶Small-Molecule Drug Research Center, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences,

Shanghai, P. R. China

Correspondence

Hua Xie, and Jian Ding, Division of Antitumor Pharmacology & State Key Laboratory of Drug Research, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, 201203, P. R. China. Email: hxie@simm.ac.cn; jding@simm.ac.cn

Wenhu Duan, Small-Molecule Drug Research Center, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, 201203, P. R. China. Email: whduan@simm.ac.cn

REFERENCES

- Martínez-Jiménez F, Muiños F, Sentís I, Deu-Pons J, Reyes-Salazar I, Arnedo-Pac C, et al. A compendium of mutational cancer driver genes. Nature Reviews Cancer. 2020;20(10):555– 572.
- 2. Zhu C, Guan X, Zhang X, Luan X, Song Z, Cheng X, et al. Targeting KRAS mutant cancers: from druggable therapy to drug resistance. Mol Cancer. 2022;21(1):159.
- Hamarsheh S, Osswald L, Saller BS, Unger S, De Feo D, Vinnakota JM, et al. Oncogenic Kras(G12D) causes myeloproliferation via NLRP3 inflammasome activation. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):1659.
- Haston S, Gonzalez-Gualda E, Morsli S, Ge J, Reen V, Calderwood A, et al. Clearance of senescent macrophages ameliorates tumorigenesis in KRAS-driven lung cancer. Cancer Cell. 2023;41(7):1242–1260.e6.
- 5. Liu Y, Zhan Z, Kang Z, Li M, Lv Y, Li S, et al. Preclinical and early clinical studies of a novel compound SYHA1813 that efficiently crosses the blood-brain barrier and exhibits potent activity against glioblastoma. Acta Pharmaceutica Sinica B. 2023;13(12):4748–4764.
- Kang Z, Li S, Lin Y, Li Y, Mao Y, Zhang J, et al. A phase I doseescalation study of SYHA1813, a VEGFR and CSF1R inhibitor, in patients with recurrent High-Grade Gliomas or Advanced



5

Solid Tumors. Investigational New Drugs. 2023;41(2):296–305.

- 7. Liu Y, Lai M, Li S, Wang Y, Feng F, Zhang T, et al. LS-106, a novel EGFR inhibitor targeting C797S, exhibits antitumor activities both in vitro and in vivo. Cancer Sci. 2022;113(2):709– 720.
- 8. Zhang T, Qu R, Chan S, Lai M, Tong L, Feng F, et al. Discovery of a novel third-generation EGFR inhibitor and identification of a potential combination strategy to overcome resistance. Mol Cancer. 2020;19(1):90.
- 9. Morad G, Helmink BA, Sharma P, Wargo JA. Hallmarks of response, resistance, and toxicity to immune checkpoint blockade. Cell. 2021;184(21):5309–5337.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.