

Association of previously irradiated stable brain metastases with outcomes of atezolizumab-treated non-small cell lung cancer: A pooled analysis of individual patient data from three randomized trials

Dear Editor:

Brain metastasis (BM) has long been recognized as a prognostic factor associated with poor prognosis for non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) in the era of conventional chemotherapy and targeted therapy [1]. In the era of immunotherapy, controversial findings have been reported regarding the prognostic significance of BM in patients with NSCLC treated with programmed death-1/programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-1/PD-L1) inhibitors. Several studies have shown that the presence of BM did not impact overall survival (OS) or progression-free survival (PFS) [2, 3], whereas other studies have identified BM as a negative prognostic factor [4, 5]. These previous works were mostly based on small sample sizes, and the prognostic significance of BM in patients treated with PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors warrants further investigation.

In the present study, we used Vivli, a global, neutral data-sharing platform that enables access to anonymized individual patient data from trials, to evaluate the association between previously irradiated stable BM (iBM) and treatment outcomes of atezolizumab-containing regimens using pooled data from prospective phase III trials. Three clinical trials, IMpower130 (NCT02367781) [6], IMpower131 (NCT02367794) [7], and IMpower150 (NCT02366143) [8], were identified. Supplementary Table S1 provides an overview of the included three clinical trials. The study design and methods are described in the Supplementary Material.

List of abbreviations: BM, brain metastasis; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; PD-L1, programmed death ligand-1; NSCLC, Non-small cell lung cancer; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; iBM, previously irradiated stable BM; PD-1/PD-L1, Programmed death 1/programmed death-ligand 1; PSM, propensity score matching; HR, Hazard Ratio; CI, confidence interval; AEs, adverse events.

Supplementary Figure S1 shows the patient disposition for the per-protocol population. In the per-protocol population (n = 2,700), only 10 (3.3%) of 316 patients with baseline BM did not undergo previous irradiation, who were excluded due to difficulties in statistical analyses. Among the 2,690 patients finally included, 210 (11.8%) of 1,778 patients in the atezolizumab-containing arm and 96 (10.5%) of 912 patients in the chemotherapy alone arm had iBM. Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics for patients without baseline BM and patients with iBM are shown in Supplementary Table S2. In patients without BM, OS and PFS were improved with atezolizumabcontaining regimens compared with chemotherapy alone (Supplementary Figure S2). In patients with iBM, adding atezolizumab significantly improved OS and PFS compared with chemotherapy alone (Supplementary Figure S3).

We utilized propensity score matching (PSM) to control for the heterogeneity between patients with iBM and those without BM (Supplementary Table S3). A total of 11 patients in the atezolizumab-containing arm and 10 in the chemotherapy alone arm were excluded due to missing relevant baseline characteristic data. We compared the OS of patients with iBM and those without baseline BM before and after PSM (Figure 1). In the atezolizumab-containing arm, OS was longer in patients with iBM than in those without BM in the original cohort (unadjusted hazard ratio [HR] = 0.75, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.60-0.93, P= 0.011; adjusted HR = 0.75, 95% CI = 0.60–0.95, P =0.015; Supplementary Table S4) and the propensity scorematched cohort (unadjusted HR = 0.35,95% CI = 0.27-0.45, P < 0.001; adjusted HR = 0.26, 95% CI = 0.20-0.33, P < 0.001; Supplementary Table S5); in the chemotherapy alone arm, OS was similar between patients with iBM and those without BM in the original cohort and the propensity score-matched cohort. There was a significant interaction

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.



FIGURE 1 Kaplan-Meier plot of overall survival of patients with previously irradiated BM versus those without BM. Comparison of patients randomized to the atezolizumab-containing arm with previously irradiated BM and those without baseline BM in the original cohort (A) and the propensity score-matched cohort (B). Comparison of patients randomized to the chemotherapy alone arm with previously irradiated BM and those without baseline BM in the original cohort (C) and the propensity score-matched cohort (D). Abbreviations: BM, brain metastasis; iBM, previously irradiated stable BM; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.

between treatment arms and BM status before and after PSM ($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.013$, $P_{\text{interaction}} < 0.001$, respectively). We also applied stabilized inverse probability weighting and obtained similar results (Supplementary Table S6 and Supplementary Figure S4). We also compared the PFS of patients with iBM and those without baseline BM, and the results are shown in Supplementary Figures S5-S6 and Supplementary Tables S7-S10.

Furthermore, we compared the OS and PFS between patients without BM and patients with iBM who had radiotherapy technique information available and found that radiosurgery-treated stable BM or whole-brain radiotherapy-treated stable BM was associated with improved survival in the atezolizumab-containing arm after PSM, but not in those in the chemotherapy alone arm (Supplementary Figures S7-S10).

Atezolizumab-related neurological adverse events (AEs) of any grade occurred in 225 patients (14.3%) without base-

line BM and 31 patients (14.8%) with iBM; atezolizumabrelated grade 3/4 neurological AEs were reported in 19 patients (1.2%) without BM and 4 patients (1.9%) with iBM (Supplementary Table S11). The rates of atezolizumabrelated serious neurological AEs were similar between patients without BM and patients with iBM (0.7% vs. 0.4%). The most common atezolizumab-related neurological AE was headache in patients without baseline BM and neuropathy peripheral in patients with iBM (Supplementary Table S12).

A unique feature of the present study compared to previous investigations is that all of the included patients with BM had previously received irradiation and had stable BM, whereas previous works included a heterogeneous group of BMs, including active BM, nonirradiated stable BM, and irradiated stable BM. Therefore, our findings hint at the possibility of the interaction of cranial radiotherapy with the immune system to

279

augment anti-tumor immunity. Atezolizumab-containing chemoimmunotherapy combination has shown promising efficacy in NSCLC patients without BM. The better survival outcomes observed in patients with iBM who received atezolizumab-containing chemoimmunotherapy combination compared to those without BM may be due to the potential synergistic anti-tumor effects of cranial radiotherapy and atezolizumab. Cranial radiotherapy may have immunogenic effects on the tumor microenvironment of the brain, causing immunogenic cell death and the release of tumor antigens, which in turn activates immune cells. This immunogenic effect within the brain has the potential to enhance the systemic immune response to atezolizumab, leading to a more effective overall immune response. Further studies investigating if and how cranial radiotherapy could be a potential game-changer to prime a more effective systemic anti-tumor response to anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy for patients with BM are warranted.

There were some limitations of the present study. First, the small sample size of patients with non-irradiated BM did not allow for statistical comparisons of OS and PFS between patients with non-irradiated BM and those with iBM. It is worth mentioning that the presence of BM has been reported to have a negative prognostic impact or no significant effect on PFS or OS in patients with advanced NSCLC treated with PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors [2-5, 9, 10]. In this regard, the significant survival benefit of patients with iBM compared to those without BM in the atezolizumab-containing arm is especially notable.

Second, since cranial radiotherapy was performed prior to enrollment, information on radiotherapy techniques was not available for 9.0% and 14.6% of the patients in the atezolizumab-containing arm and chemotherapy alone arm, respectively.

Third, this analysis was based on patients with treated stable BM. The prognostic significance of active BM in the era of immunotherapy is largely unknown since patients with active BM are excluded in almost all of the clinical trials. Additionally, the three trials included in the present study utilized different therapeutic agents in addition to atezolizumab, which could potentially introduce a confounding factor.

Overall, our results suggest that previously irradiated stable BM was associated with improved outcomes in patients who received atezolizumab-containing regimens. These data lend credence to the notion that even though anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy has activity against BM, patients may benefit from having cranial radiotherapy prior to anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy. Our findings also highlight the need to explore whether cranial radiotherapy can improve systemic responses to immunotherapy in patients with BM from NSCLC.

DECLARATIONS

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Study conception and design: TG, JN, ZZ. Data acquisition: TG, JN, ZZ, YZ. Data analyses: TG, JN, FL, ZW. Data interpretation: all authors; contribution to and approval of manuscript: all authors.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This publication is based on research using data from Roche that has been made available through Vivli, Inc. Vivli has not contributed to nor approved, and is not in any way responsible for, the contents of this publication. The authors appreciate the academic support from AME Lung Cancer Collaborative Group.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS STATEMENT None declared.

FUNDING INFORMATION

Chinese Society of Clinical Oncology (Y-BM2019-082, Y-MSD2020-0147), Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (20Y11913500).

PATIENT CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION Not required.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

This study was deemed negligible risk research and exempt from review by the Ethical Committees of Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data presented in the study are from Roche that has been made available through Vivli, Inc (https://vivli. org/). Interested groups may complete a Vivli Data Request Form on https://vivli.org/resources/resources/, which will be submitted to all relevant Data Contributors for review, according to the Data Contributor's data sharing policies and criteria.

> Tiantian Guo^{1,2,3,4} Yue Zhou^{1,2,3,4} Fei Liang⁵ Zezhou Wang^{2,6} Vincent Bourbonne⁷ Lukas Käsmann⁸ Nora Sundahl⁹ Abraham Jing-Ching Wu¹⁰ Jianjiao Ni^{1,2,3,4} Zhengfei Zhu^{1,2,3,4,11}

¹Department of Radiation Oncology, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, Shanghai, P. R. China ²Department of Oncology, Shanghai Medical College, Fudan University, Shanghai, P. R. China ³Shanghai Clinical Research Center for Radiation Oncology, Shanghai, P. R. China ⁴Shanghai Key Laboratory of Radiation Oncology, Shanghai, P. R. China ⁵Department of Biostatistics, Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, P. R. China ⁶Department of Cancer Prevention, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, Shanghai, P. R. China ⁷Radiation Oncology Department, University Hospital, Brest. France ⁸Department of Radiation Oncology, University Hospital, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany ⁹Department of Radiation Oncology, AZ Groeninge, Kortrijk, Belgium ¹⁰Department of Radiation Oncology, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York, USA ¹¹Institute of Thoracic Oncology, Fudan University, Shanghai, P. R. China

Correspondence

Jianjiao Ni and Zhengfei Zhu, Department of Radiation Oncology, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, 270 Dong An Road, Shanghai, 200032, P. R. China. Email: nijianjiao8@sina.com and fuscczzf@163.com

Tiantian Guo and Yue Zhou contributed equally to this work.

ORCID

Zhengfei Zhu D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7537-3619

REFERENCES

- Ernani V, Stinchcombe TE. Management of Brain Metastases in Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. J Oncol Pract. 2019;15(11):563–570.
- 2. Hendriks LEL, Henon C, Auclin E, Mezquita L, Ferrara R, Audigier-Valette C, et al. Outcome of Patients with Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer and Brain Metastases Treated with Checkpoint Inhibitors. J Thorac Oncol. 2019;14(7):1244–1254.

 Dudnik E, Moskovitz M, Daher S, Shamai S, Hanovich E, Grubstein A, et al. Effectiveness and safety of nivolumab in advanced non-small cell lung cancer: The real-life data. Lung Cancer. 2018;126:217–223.

ANCER

- 4. Arbour KC, Mezquita L, Long N, Rizvi H, Auclin E, Ni A, et al. Impact of Baseline Steroids on Efficacy of Programmed Cell Death-1 and Programmed Death-Ligand 1 Blockade in Patients With Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36(28):2872–2878.
- Mountzios G, de Toma A, Economopoulou P, Friedlaender A, Banini M, Lo Russo G, et al. Steroid Use Independently Predicts for Poor Outcomes in Patients With Advanced NSCLC and High PD-L1 Expression Receiving First-Line Pembrolizumab Monotherapy. Clin Lung Cancer. 2021;22(2): e180–e192.
- 6. West H, McCleod M, Hussein M, Morabito A, Rittmeyer A, Conter HJ, et al. Atezolizumab in combination with carboplatin plus nab-paclitaxel chemotherapy compared with chemotherapy alone as first-line treatment for metastatic non-squamous non-small-cell lung cancer (IMpower130): a multicentre, randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2019;20(7):924– 937.
- Jotte R, Cappuzzo F, Vynnychenko I, Stroyakovskiy D, Rodríguez-Abreu D, Hussein M, et al. Atezolizumab in Combination With Carboplatin and Nab-Paclitaxel in Advanced Squamous NSCLC (IMpower131): Results From a Randomized Phase III Trial. J Thorac Oncol. 2020;15(8):1351–1360.
- Socinski MA, Jotte RM, Cappuzzo F, Orlandi F, Stroyakovskiy D, Nogami N, et al. Atezolizumab for First-Line Treatment of Metastatic Nonsquamous NSCLC. N Engl J Med. 2018;378(24):2288–2301.
- Chen H, Feng Y, Zhou Y, Tao Y, Tang L, Shi Y. Brain metastases and immune checkpoint inhibitors in non-small cell lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 2022;71(12):3071–3085.
- Guo T, Chu L, Chu X, Yang X, Li Y, Zhou Y, et al. Brain metastases, patterns of intracranial progression, and the clinical value of upfront cranial radiotherapy in patients with metastatic nonsmall cell lung cancer treated with PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors. Transl Lung Cancer Res. 2022;11(2):173–187.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.